UCLA/93/TEP/48
hep-th/9312202
December 1993

arXiv:hep-th/9312202v1 28 Dec 1993

THE PERTURBATIVE CALCULATION OF THE SPIN-SPIN CORRELATION FUNCTION IN THE TWO DIMENSIONAL ISING MODEL

Bakhtiar Mikhak and **Amir M. Zarkesh***

Physics Department
University of California, Los Angeles
Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA

Using the variational formula for operator product coefficients a method for perturbative calculation of the short-distance expansion of the Spin-Spin correlation function in the two dimensional Ising model is presented. Results of explicit calculation up to third order agree with known results from the scaling limit of the lattice calculation.

It has been nearly fifty years since exact solvability and existence of continuous phase transition in two dimensional Ising model was shown.[1] Ever since then, thanks to equivalence of statistical mechanics models and quantum euclidean field theories with an ultraviolet (UV) fixed point, the Ising model has been an excellent theoretical playground for addressing many field theoretical issues, such as the existence and proper definition of continuum field theories[2] and the coordinate space description of the renormalization group (RG). In particular, the existence of a second order phase transition in the Ising model is equivalent to the existence of a UV fixed point for the corresponding quantum field theory of a massive Majorana fermion. Understanding the structure of the short-distance expansion of correlation functions of the lattice model in the scaling limit by field theoretical methods amounts to renormalization group analysis and perturbation theory near the UV fixed point[3].

*Supported in part by D.O.E. contract DE-FG03-91ER 40662 Task C.

[1]For the Onsager solution of the Ising model, see ref. [1] and references therein.

In the spirit of classic works of Wilson on the renormalization group and operator product expansion (OPE) [3], a new framework for the study of the theory space of euclidean quantum field theories has been introduced[4]. This formalism is particularly useful for elucidating the structure of the short-distance expansions of the n-point functions of a renormalizable quantum field theory around a nontrivial fixed point. As a first application of this formalism a complete renormalization group analysis of the short-distance expansion of spin-spin correlation function in the scaling limit of the Ising model has been given[5]. The RG analysis determines the short-distance expansion of the spin-spin correlation function only up to RG invariant functions. The calculation of these functions requires a perturbative calculation based on a concrete regularization scheme. The purpose of the present paper is to show how this calculation is done. To this end we show how the recursive use of the variational formulas for the correlation functions[6] and operator product coefficients[7] leads to a manifestly finite calculational scheme for the short-distance expansion of the spin-spin correlation function in the Ising model.

The scaling limit of the two dimensional massive Ising model is characterized by the theory of a free massive Majorana fermion which is parametrized by the cosmological constant and the mass m. The cosmological constant only provides an additional constant free energy density, and therefore none of the correlation functions of local operators depend on it. Therefore the theory is parametrized by one real parameter m. The mass has scale dimension one and satisfies the canonical RG equation. Note that mr is RG invariant. The operator conjugate to m, denoted by $\mathcal{O}_m$, has the following expectation value determined by RG analysis[5]

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_m \rangle_m = -\beta_{\mathbf{1}} m \ln(mc), \tag{1}$$

where $\beta_{\mathbf{1}}$ is the anomalous dimension of $\mathcal{O}_m$ and c is a constant of integration. At the fixed point this operator corresponds to the energy density operator $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2\pi}(i\bar{\psi}\psi)$ where ψ is a free massive Majorana fermion. Our particular choice of normalization fixes $\beta_{\mathbf{1}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}$.

The main tool in this scheme is the variational formula which realizes the derivative of correlation functions with respect to m by the volume integral of the same

correlation functions with an $\mathcal{O}_m$ insertion. The necessary subtractions are made by introducing the operator product expansion from the outset[6]. By counting *scaling* dimensions the only necessary subtraction in the present calculation is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{mm}{}^{\mathbf{1}}(\epsilon;m) &\equiv -\int_{1\geq r\geq\epsilon} d^2r\ \bar{C}^{(s)}_{mm}{}^{\mathbf{1}}(r;m) + c_{mm}{}^{\mathbf{1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\ln(\epsilon) + c_{mm}{}^{\mathbf{1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\bar{C}^{(s)}_{mm}{}^{\mathbf{1}}$ is the singular part of the coefficient of the OPE of two energy density operators and $c_{mm}{}^{\mathbf{1}}$ is the corresponding finite counterterm. Using OPE and the variational formula for the correlation functions a manifestly finite variational formula for OPE coefficients can be derived[7]. We show how this VF leads to a manifestly finite scheme for the perturbative calculation of the corrections to the scaling behavior of the spin-spin correlation function.

Consider the short distance expansion for spin-spin correlation

$$\langle\sigma(r)\sigma(0)\rangle_m = C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{b}(r;m)\langle\phi_b\rangle_m, \tag{3}$$

where the sum over b is sufficient to include scalar composite operators, which are not total derivatives. Because of the operator algebra of the critical Ising model, $\phi_b \in \{[\mathbf{1}],[\mathcal{E}]\}$. To calculate the corrections to scaling behavior of the OPE coefficients to third order[2] in mass it is sufficient to truncate the sum over b to[3]

$$\langle\sigma(r)\sigma(0)\rangle_m = C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{\mathbf{1}}(r;m) + C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{m}(r;m)\langle\mathcal{O}_m\rangle_m. \tag{4}$$

The zero-th order is just the well-known scaling limit. In first order the following *finite* and *truncated* first order VF for the OPE coefficients can be derived[7, 8]

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_m C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{\mathbf{1}}(r) = \lim_{R'\to\infty}\ \Big\{ &\int_{R'\geq r'} d^2r'\ \langle\mathcal{E}(r')\sigma(r)\sigma(0)\rangle \\ &- C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{m}(r)\ \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\ \Big(\int_{R'\geq r'\geq\epsilon} d^2r'\ \langle\mathcal{E}(r')\mathcal{E}(0)\rangle + A_{mm}{}^{\mathbf{1}}(\epsilon)\Big)\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

[2]Up to scale dimension 3 the only non-derivative scalar operators are $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathcal{E}$[5, 10].

[3]For a general proof of truncation of the variational formula to arbitrary order see ref. [8].

The free massive Majorana fermion representation for the critical Ising model[10] makes the calculation of the energy-density correlation functions trivial. The expression of $\sigma(r)$ in terms of the fermion field, on the other hand, is non-local. This makes the calculation of the correlation functions, which involve the spin operator, *non-trivial*[4]. In two dimensions, all correlation functions of conformally invariant field theories are calculable in principle[10]. Moreover, in the case of the critical Ising model there are additional structures which give a systematic way of *recursively* calculating the correlation functions[12] needed in the main terms of the VFs (*i.e.,* the terms denoted by $\mathcal{M}$s in what follows). In the following we use the results, which, using the techniques of [12], can be easily derived[8]. For example, in the case of VF (5) we have

$$-\partial_m C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{\mathbf{1}}(r) \;=\; \lim_{R'\to\infty}\Big\{r^{\frac{3}{4}}\;\mathcal{M}_1\Big(\frac{R'}{r}\Big) - C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{m}(r)\Big(\mathcal{S}_{1,\mathcal{E}}\Big(\frac{R'}{r}\Big) + c_{mm}{}^{\mathbf{1}}\Big)\Big\}. \qquad (6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_1(U') = \int_{U'\geq u'} \frac{d^2u'}{4\pi|u'||u'-1|}, \qquad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,\mathcal{E}}(U') = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\;\Big(\int_{U'\geq u'\geq\frac{\epsilon}{r}} \frac{d^2u'}{4\pi^2|u'|^2} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\ln(\epsilon)\Big). \qquad (8)$$

The repeated use of variational formula leads to expressions for higher order derivatives of $C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{\mathbf{1}}$ evaluated at $m=0$. In deriving these expressions up to third order we should keep the following two points in mind. First, since we only consider VF for correlation functions which involve energy-density and spin operators, the knowledge of the subtraction (2) is sufficient. Second, in second and third order VFs, where the arguments of two inserted energy-density operators, one coming from a lower order VF, approach one another, we do not need any subtractions at all. This is due to the *connectedness* of the insertions, *i.e.,* we have to consider *partially* connected correlation functions with respect to *all* insertions. Therefore, by taking the derivative of VF (5) and using the first order VF for correlation functions, we could derive the following second order finite and truncated VF

[4]This is the main reason that, even though the critical Ising model has a representation in terms of a free massless Majonara fermion, it is still a *non-trivial* fixed point theory.

$$\partial_m^2 C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{\mathbf{1}}(r) = \lim_{R'\to\infty}\ \lim_{R''\to\infty}\ \Big\{ \int_{R'\geq r'} d^2r'\ \int_{R''\geq r''} d^2r''\ \langle \mathcal{E}(r'')\mathcal{E}(r')\sigma(r)\sigma(0)\rangle^c$$
$$+\partial_m C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^m(r)\Big[\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\ \Big(\int_{R'\geq r'\geq\epsilon} d^2r'\ \langle \mathcal{E}(r')\mathcal{E}(0)\rangle + A_{mm}{}^{\mathbf{1}}(\epsilon)\Big) + (R'\leftrightarrow R'')\Big]\Big\}, \quad (9)$$

The effect of the terms corresponding to $\partial_m^2\langle\mathcal{E}\rangle$ vanish because of the specific order of the limits and the OPE algebra of the critical Ising model. We emphasize that this equation is finite[5]. Using the explicit expression for the necessary four point function we have

$$\partial_m^2 C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{\mathbf{1}}(r) = \lim_{R'\to\infty}\ \lim_{R''\to\infty}\ \Big\{$$
$$r^{\frac{7}{4}}\ \mathcal{M}_2\Big(\frac{R'}{r},\frac{R''}{r}\Big) + \partial_m C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^m(r)\Big[\mathcal{S}_{1,\mathcal{E}}\Big(\frac{R'}{r}\Big) + \mathcal{S}_{1,\mathcal{E}}\Big(\frac{R''}{r}\Big) + 2c_{mm}{}^{\mathbf{1}}\Big]\Big\}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_2(U',U'') = \int_{U'\geq u'}\frac{d^2u'}{4\pi|u'||u'-1|}\int_{U''\geq u''}\frac{d^2u''}{4\pi|u''||u''-1|}$$
$$\times\Big[\frac{|u'+u''-2u'u''|^2-4|u'||u'-1||u''||u''-1|}{|u'-u''|^2}\Big]. \quad (11)$$

In complete analogy to second order we can derive higher order finite and truncated variational formulas[8]. All the necessary critical correlation functions can be calculated with the aid of the recursive relations of ref.[12]. As a result we have two types of integrals to handle. The calculation of the subtraction integrals $\mathcal{S}$'s are straightforward, due to the rationality of the integrands. But, the main integrals $\mathcal{M}$'s are in general quite hard and have been one of the main obstacles for the field theoretical calculation of the short-distance expansion of the spin-spin correlation function. Here we give a general method for calculating these integrals[8].

Taking the IR limits to infinity has a very important calculational advantage; usually it is much easier to calculate integrals for infinitely large cutoffs rather than finite cutoffs. Taking advantage of this fact the integral $\mathcal{M}_1$ could be calculated

[5]For more detailed explanation of this subtle point see ref. [8].

and involves elliptic functions. But, the higher order integrals are very difficult to calculate directly. The main reason for this difficulty is the appearance of one copy of the integrand of $\mathcal{M}_1$, for each integration. Once we analyze the structure of $\mathcal{M}_1$ carefully, the analysis of the higher order integrals follows.

The separation of the two poles of the integrand of $\mathcal{M}_1$ makes the integral asymmetric respect to the IR and the UV behavior; we do not have any UV divergences in the main term. Therefore we have to look for a transformation which symmetrizes the integral with respect to the IR and the UV limits. We now demonstrate that the following conformal transformation does the job

$$u' = \frac{1}{2}\Big(1 + \frac{1}{2}(x + \frac{1}{x})\Big); \tag{12}$$

hence, the name *symmetrization* transformation. Under this transformation, for large cutoff, the $\mathcal{M}_1$ becomes

$$\mathcal{M}_1(U') = \int_{4U' \geq x \geq 1} \frac{d^2x}{4\pi|x|^2} \; + O(\frac{1}{U'}). \tag{13}$$

After applying the symmetrization transformation, the integral in (6) is trivial and for ${c_{mm}}^{\mathbf{1}} = \frac{1}{\pi}\ln 2$ we get the following results

$$\begin{aligned} {C_{\sigma\sigma}}^{m}(r) &= \pi r^{\frac{3}{4}}, & (14)\\ \partial_m {C_{\sigma\sigma}}^{\mathbf{1}}(r) &= r^{-\frac{3}{4}}\ln r. & (15) \end{aligned}$$

The logaritmic dependence on r in (15) could be also derived from solving the RG equation[8]. In addition, using the VF for $\langle\mathcal{O}_m\rangle_m$, and the representation of Ising model in terms the theory of the free massive fermions it is possible to calculate the complete relation between c in (1) and ${c_{mm}}^{\mathbf{1}}$[8]. The final answer for our choice of ${c_{mm}}^{\mathbf{1}}$ is $c = \frac{e^\gamma}{8}$, where γ is the Euler constant. This completes the specification of our choice of the conjugate operator. The first non-trivial information will come out of the second order calculation.

The calculation of $\mathcal{M}_2$ involves non-trivial integration of elliptic functions and is

extremely hard.[6]. But using the symmetrization transformation, in the limit of large cutoffs, this integral is calculable with standard methods and we get

$$\lim_{U'\to\infty}\ \lim_{U''\to\infty}\ \mathcal{M}_2(U',U'') = \frac{1}{8}. \tag{16}$$

Therefore, the main term in (10) does not have any IR divergences, and we have

$$\partial_m C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^m(r) = 0\ , \tag{17}$$

$$\partial_m^2 C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{\mathbf{1}}(r) = \frac{r^{\frac{7}{4}}}{8}. \tag{18}$$

Note that, (17) is a result independent of the choice of any finite counterterms. In ref.[5] this was a result expected from comparison to the exact result of ref.[2].

The third order calculation also relies on the symmetrization transformation. Just like the second order calculation, the result of applying this transformation is an integral which can be handled by standard techniques. We finally get

$$\partial_m^2 C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^m(r) = \frac{\pi r^{\frac{11}{4}}}{8}, \tag{19}$$

$$\partial_m^3 C_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{\mathbf{1}}(r) = \frac{3r^{\frac{11}{4}}}{16}\ln r. \tag{20}$$

Contrary to (17), the vanishing result for the constant part of (20) is due to the specific choice of the finite counterterm $c_{mm}{}^{\mathbf{1}}$. We can now calculate the short-distance expansion on the spin-spin correlation function to third order in the mass. In complete agreement with the scaling limit of the exact lattice results of ref.[2] we have

$$\langle\sigma(r)\sigma(0)\rangle_m = \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{4}}}\Big(1+\frac{1}{2}t\ln(|t|e^\gamma/8)+\frac{1}{16}t^2+\frac{1}{32}t^3\ln(|t|e^\gamma/8)+O(t^4\ln^2|t|)\Big), \tag{21}$$

[6]Dotsenko applied his conformal field techniques to calculate this integral by clever contour deformations and analytic continuation methods.[9] But, as he also points out, generalizing his technique to higher orders is very difficult.

where $t \equiv mr$. This is the first *systematic* field-theoretic calculation near a *non-trivial* fixed point.

Note that our method is general, and in principle, there seems to be no difficulty in continuing the calculation to higher orders. A complete proof of the truncation, as well as a systematic study of the structure of the higher order calculations, applicability of the symmetrization transformation to arbitrary order, and details of the calculations, is presented elsewhere[8]. We believe that our methods should also be applicable for perturbative calculations around other non-trivial fixed points corresponding to more complicated minimal models classified in ref.[10]. It is important to note that the proof of the finiteness and the possibility of truncation is applicable to all models of this type[8]. Clearly, there is much important work to be done in this direction.

We would like to thank H. Sonoda for suggesting the problem, his valuable comments, and constant encouragement.

References

[1] T.D. Schultz, D.C. Mattis, and E.H. Lieb, Rev. Mod. Phys. **36**(1964) 856.

[2] T.T. Wu, B.M. McCoy, C.A. Tracy, and E. Barouch, Phys. Rev. **B13**(1976) 316.

[3] K. Wilson, Phys. Rev. **179**(1969) 1499.
K. Wilson and J. Kogut, Phys. Rep. **12C**(1974) 76.

[4] H. Sonoda, Nucl. Phys. **B352**(1991) 585.

[5] H. Sonoda, Nucl. Phys. **B352**(1991) 601.

[6] H. Sonoda, Nucl. Phys. **B383**(1992) 173.

[7] H. Sonoda, Nucl. Phys. **B394**(1993) 302.

[8] B. Mikhak and A.M. Zarkesh, *Manifestly Finite Perturbation Theory for the Short-distance Expansion of Correlation Functions in the Two Dimensional Ising Model* Prepint UCLA/93/TEP/49

[9] V.I. Dotsenko, Nucl. Phys. **B314**(1989) 687.

[10] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B241**(1984) 333.

[11] F. Wegner, Phys. Rev. **B5**(1972) 4529; J. Phys. **A8**(1975) 710.

[12] P. Di Francesco, H. Saleur, and J.B. Zuber Nucl. Phys. **B290**(1987) 527.